

# Categorical Torelli theorems for Gushel–Mukai threefolds

Augustinas Jacovskis (joint work with Xun Lin, Zhiyu Liu and Shizhuo Zhang)



THE UNIVERSITY  
of EDINBURGH

## Introduction

**Question:** Let  $X$  be a Fano threefold. Can  $D^b(X)$  determine  $X$  up to isomorphism?

**Answer:** Yes, by Bondal–Orlov’s reconstruction theorem.

### Question

Can “less” data than the whole derived category  $D^b(X)$  determine  $X$  up to isomorphism?

For some Fano threefolds, “less data” means the Kuznetsov component.

**Example.** For  $X$  a cubic threefold, an admissible subcategory of  $D^b(X)$  called the Kuznetsov component  $\mathcal{K}u(X)$  determines it up to isomorphism [1].

In this poster, we focus on the case of **ordinary Gushel–Mukai (OGM)** threefolds.

## GM threefolds

**Definition.** A GM threefold  $X$  is a Picard rank 1, index 1, degree 10 (genus 6) Fano threefold. There are two classes:

- $X$  are quadric sections of a codimension 2 linear section of a Plücker embedded  $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$  (**OGM** threefolds) or;
- $X \xrightarrow{2:1} Y_5$  ramified in a quadric, where  $Y_5$  is a codimension 3 linear section of a Plücker embedded  $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$  (special GM threefolds).

From now on, let  $X$  be an OGM threefold, with  $H$  denoting its polarisation.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the restriction of the tautological sub-bundle on  $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ . Define the (alternative) **Kuznetsov component** of  $X$  to be

$$\mathcal{A}_X := \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}^\vee \rangle^\perp = \{C \in D^b(X) \mid \text{Hom}^\bullet(D, C) = 0, D = \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}^\vee\}.$$

It fits into the semiorthogonal decomposition  $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}^\vee \rangle$ .

a.jacovskis@sms.ed.ac.uk

## Bridgeland stability conditions

**Weak stability conditions.** A weak stability condition on  $D^b(X)$  is a pair  $(Z, \mathcal{H})$  where  $Z : K_0(D^b(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a weak stability function and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a heart of  $D^b(X)$ .

**Stability conditions on  $\mathcal{A}_X$ .** To construct Bridgeland stability conditions on  $\mathcal{A}_X$ , take a tilt stability condition on  $D^b(X)$  (an example of a weak stability condition), tilt again and then restrict to  $\mathcal{A}_X$ .

**Moduli spaces** After fixing a Bridgeland stability condition  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{A}_X$  and a class  $v$  in the numerical Grothendieck group of  $\mathcal{A}_X$ , one can consider moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\mathcal{A}_X, v)$  of  $\sigma$ -stable objects in  $\mathcal{A}_X$ .

Details can be found in [2].

## $\mathcal{A}_X$ in the OGM case

By [3], there are birationally equivalent but non-isomorphic OGM threefolds with equivalent Kuznetsov components, so the natural questions in this case become:

### Main questions

- Does  $\mathcal{A}_X$  determine the birational equivalence class of  $X$ ?
- What is the extra data along with  $\mathcal{A}_X$  required to isolate an OGM from its birational equivalence class?

## The extra data

We claim that this **extra data** is a certain projection of the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  into  $\mathcal{K}u(X)$ , an equivalent version of  $\mathcal{A}_X$  (fitting in  $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{K}u(X), \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X \rangle$ ).

**Lemma.** ([4]) Consider the subcategory  $\mathcal{D} := \langle \mathcal{K}u(X), \mathcal{E} \rangle \subset D^b(X)$ , the inclusion  $i : \mathcal{K}u(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , and the right adjoint  $\pi := i^\perp$  of  $i$ . We have

$$\pi(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{E} \mathcal{Q}(-H)[1]$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the restriction of the tautological quotient bundle on  $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ . The object  $\pi(\mathcal{E})$  is a two-term complex, and it is stable with respect to Bridgeland stability conditions on  $\mathcal{K}u(X)$ .

## Refined categorical Torelli

Consider the projection  $\text{pr} := \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee} : D^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$ , and let  $C \subset X$  denote a conic.

**Lemma.** ([4])

- When  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_C) = 0$ , we have  $\text{pr}(I_C) = I_C$ .
- When  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_C) = 1$ , the projection sits in the triangle

$$\mathcal{E}[1] \rightarrow \text{pr}(I_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^\vee,$$

and there is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of such conics in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ , the Hilbert scheme of conics on  $X$ . Denote this  $\mathbb{L}$ .

- In both of the above cases  $\text{pr}(I_C)[1]$  is stable with respect to Bridgeland stability conditions on  $\mathcal{A}_X$ .

**Remark.** Under the standard equivalence  $\Xi : \mathcal{K}u(X) \simeq \mathcal{A}_X$ , we have the identification  $\Xi(\pi(\mathcal{E})) \cong \text{pr}(I_C)[1]$ , in the case when  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_C) = 1$ .

**Theorem.** ([4]) The functor  $\text{pr}$  produces an irreducible component  $\mathcal{S} := p(\mathcal{C}(X))$  in  $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\mathcal{A}_X, -x)$ , where  $x$  is the numerical class of  $\text{pr}(I_C)$  and  $p : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a blow-down morphism contracting  $\mathbb{L}$  to the smooth point associated to  $\pi(\mathcal{E})$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{S}$  is isomorphic to the minimal model  $\mathcal{C}_m(X)$  of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . Furthermore, the irreducible component  $\mathcal{C}_m(X)$  is the whole moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\mathcal{A}_X, -x)$ .

### Corollary

The data  $(\mathcal{K}u(X), \pi(\mathcal{E}))$  determines  $X$  up to isomorphism ([4]).

**Proof.** By the theorem above,

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\mathcal{A}_X, -x) \cong \mathcal{C}_m(X).$$

Blowing up  $\mathcal{C}_m(X)$  at the point associated to  $\pi(\mathcal{E})$  gives  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ , and by Logachev’s reconstruction theorem  $X$  is recovered up to isomorphism from  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ .

## Birational categorical Torelli

### Theorem

Suppose we have an equivalence  $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$ . Then  $X$  is birational to  $X'$  ([4]).

**Idea of proof.** The other numerical  $(-1)$ -class of  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is  $y - 2x$ , and one can show that

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\mathcal{A}_X, y - 2x) \cong M_G^X(2, 1, 5)$$

where  $M_G(2, 1, 5)$  is a Gieseker moduli space with the given Chern classes. So an equivalence  $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$  sends  $-x$  to either itself or  $y - 2x$ , and so gives rise to either  $\mathcal{C}_m(X) \cong \mathcal{C}_m(X')$ , or  $\mathcal{C}_m(X) \cong M_G^{X'}(2, 1, 5)$ . Results of [5] then imply that  $X$  is a certain birational transform of  $X'$  associated to either lines or conics.

## Period maps for OGM threefolds

If  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{cat}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{\mathcal{K}u\} / \sim$  denotes a “**categorical period map**”, then a corollary of the above theorem is that ([4])

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X) \cong \mathcal{C}_m(X) / \iota \cup M_G^X(2, 1, 5) / \iota'.$$

In [5], the authors (DIM) conjecture that the classical period map  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  has fibers of the form  $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(J(X)) = \mathcal{C}_m(X) / \iota \cup M / \iota'$  where  $J(X)$  is the intermediate Jacobian and  $M$  is a surface birationally equivalent to  $M_G^X(2, 1, 5)$ . By our description of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ , the DIM conjecture is equivalent to the following conjecture (in [4], we prove an **infinitesimal version** of this conjecture):

### Conjecture

$J(X)$  determines  $\mathcal{K}u(X)$ .

**Acknowledgements:** It’s my pleasure to thank Arend Bayer for very helpful discussions regarding this work. I am supported by ERC Consolidator Grant WallCrossAG, no. 819864.

## References

- [1] Marcello Bernardara, Emanuele Macri, Sukhendu Mehrotra, and Paolo Stellari. A categorical invariant for cubic threefolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 229(2):770–803, 2012.
- [2] Arend Bayer, Marti Lahoz, Emanuele Macri, and Paolo Stellari. Stability conditions on Kuznetsov components. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.10839*, 2017.
- [3] Alexander Kuznetsov and Alexander Perry. Derived categories of Gushel–Mukai varieties. *Compositio Mathematica*, 154(7):1362–1406, 2018.
- [4] Augustinas Jacovskis, Xun Lin, Zhiyu Liu, and Shizhuo Zhang. Hochschild cohomology and categorical Torelli theorems for Gushel–Mukai threefolds. *In preparation*, 2021.
- [5] Olivier Debarre, Atanas Iliev, and Laurent Manivel. On the period map for prime fano threefolds of degree 10. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 21(1):21–59, 2012.